



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,010	06/23/2003	Bruce Edward Stuckman	1033-T00531	7678
34456	7590 11/21/2005		EXAMINER	
TOLER & LARSON & ABEL L.L.P. 5000 PLAZA ON THE LAKE STE 265			BASHORE, WILLIAM L	
AUSTIN, T		55	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2176	

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/602,010	STUCKMAN ET AL.			
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	William L. Bashore	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	VIO OCT TO EVOIDE AM	ONTHION OF THIRTY (20) PAVO			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 136(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 C	<u> October 2003</u> .				
<i>,</i>	,—				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application	1.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	·			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	,	, , , ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		· / · / · /			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in A	pplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/20/03</u> .	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/602,010 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: original application filed 6/22/2003, IDS filed 10/20/2003.

2. Claims 1-34 pending. Claims 1, 24 are independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Utsumi, Yoshimasa (hereinafter Utsumi), European Patent No. EP 1 160 708 A1, published December 5, 2001.

In regard to independent claim 1, Utsumi teaches a method of assessing possible patent infringement (Utsumi Abstract, para [0013]) whereby information is posted on a Website seeking possible infringement information regarding a particular patent, etc. (Utsumi para [0023], [0024], [0025]). If a user wishes to input a possible infringement target, he/she can do so via an input form (Utsumi para [0027], [0028], [0029], [0030]).

Utsumi teaches an input form field for inputting detailed infringement information (Utsumi para [0030] window 23). Although Utsumi does not specifically recite "identifying an infringement target", along with a description of how said target meets the criteria, nevertheless, since window 23 is offered for input of infringement target information, and since the size of said window at least suggests the capability of inputting as much information as possible, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include multiple (i.e. a first and second, etc.) user input comprising at least the identification of "an

Application/Control Number: 10/602,010

Art Unit: 2176

infringement target", along with some form of analysis of how the target meets the criteria set forth in the original posting. Doing so provides the benefit of providing as complete and as detailed infringement information as possible, therefore increasing the chances of obtaining a reward.

In regard to dependent claim 2, Utsumi teaches a plurality of input boxes (Utsumi Figure 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply said input boxes for inputting portions of a second input, so as to facilitate better organization.

In regard to dependent claim 3, Utsumi teaches a plurality of input boxes (Utsumi Figure 3), suggestion the separation of various information. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply said input boxes for inputting portions of a second input, so as to facilitate better organization.

In regard to dependent claims 4-8, Utsumi teaches a plurality of display portions displaying criteria (Utsumi Figure 2). Since clicking on a link (Utsumi Figure 2 items 13-15) results in the submission window of Figure 3, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply said window adjacent to (i.e. near to, or in columnar fashion etc.) the criteria, providing the benefit of increased organization. Mitsumi's Figure 3 accepts natural (i.e. English, etc.) language input.

In regard to dependent claims 9-11, Utsumi teaches a Web form (Utsumi para [0027]). Utsumi teaches identification of a product, and a company (Utsumi para [0006], [0028]).

In regard to dependent claim 12, Utsumi teaches a submission judged (evaluated) by a server management company (Utsumi papa [00028]).

Application/Control Number: 10/602,010 Page 4

Art Unit: 2176

In regard to dependent claims 13-17, Utsumi teaches judgment by a patent attorney (Utsumi para [0037]). A reward is issued for a first on-point submission accordingly, along with deals, fixed and/or variable fees etc. (Utsumi para [0037] to [0041]).

In regard to dependent claims 18-19, Utsumi teaches submission via e-mail, which contains a date and time stamp. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply a date and time stamp of submission, providing the benefit of timely submissions in case of disputes, etc.

In regard to dependent claims 20-21, Utsumi teaches a suitable network (Utsumi para [0049], Figure 4 item 3). Although an "intranet" is not specifically disclosed, Utsumi does teach said network as the Internet (Utsumi para [0024]), providing reasonable suggestion to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize an intranet instead (intranet is contained, while the Internet is global), providing the benefit of increased security for seeking infringement of classified patents, etc.

In regard to dependent claim 22, although Utsumi does not specifically teach "graphical" input, nevertheless, Utsumi teaches nearly any type of communication mechanism for reporting information, including e-mail (Utsumi para [0051]). Since it is well established that e-mail has the capacity of attaching files (i.e. a pdf file, which is an image based document), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide graphical input such as pdf as an e-mail attachment, providing the benefit of allowing a wide range of input from various sources.

In regard to dependent claim 23, Utsumi teaches multiple languages (Utsumi para [0026], Figure 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/602,010

Art Unit: 2176

In regard to independent claim 24, claim 24 reflects the article of manufacture comprising computer

readable instructions used for performing the methods as claimed in claim 1, and is rejected along the same

rationale.

In regard to dependent claims 25-34, claims 25-34 reflect the article of manufacture comprising

computer readable instructions used for performing the methods as claimed in claims 2-9, 22-23, respectively,

and are rejected along the same rationale.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to William L. Bashore whose telephone number is (571) 272-4088. The examiner can normally be

reached on 11:30am - 8:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather

Herndon can be reached on (571) 272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application

or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-

9197 (toll-free).

WILLIAM BASHORE

Page 5

November 13, 2005